

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/751,293	ENGWER, DARWIN A.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) William W. Schaal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Fix a typographical error & review references as disclosed in a previous IDS.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)